



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,771	08/07/2006	Anthony Jones	78104112-N18839	5972
25005	7590	05/08/2009		
Intellectual Property Dept. Dewitt Ross & Stevens SC 2 East Mifflin Street Suite 600 Madison, WI 53703-2865				
EXAMINER				
MASHACK, MARK F				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3773				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
05/08/2009		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

doctet-ip@dewittross.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/597,771

Applicant(s)

JONES ET AL.

Examiner

MARK MASHACK

Art Unit

3773

Period for Reply -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 February 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 6-16 and 21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 6-16 and 21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-8508)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/7/2006
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to a communication dated 2/24/2009. **Claims 1-3, 6-16, and 21** are pending.

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Claims 1-3, 6-16, and 21 in the reply filed on 2/24/2009 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. **Claims 1, 10, and 21** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by **Strecker (US 6,416,522)**.

Strecker discloses a delivery conduit **36**; an elongated element **38**; means for transforming axial advancement of the delivery conduit into movement of the distal end of the delivery conduit away from the longitudinal axis of the artery (Column 8, Lines 42-64). The elongate element **38** is stiffer than the end of the delivery conduit and is coupled to the delivery conduit **36** with element **40** (Column 8, Lines 42-64).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

6. **Claims 2-3 and 6-8** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Strecker** in view of **Kaji et al. ("Kaji" US 6,126,633)**.

Strecker discloses all of the claimed limitations except for being silent on the means for coupling the elongate element and the delivery conduit. However, **Kaji** teaches of a steerable surgical device with a similar means for transforming axial movement. And the elongate element **1** is coupled to the delivery conduit **2** with a knot **46** extending through apertures (proximal and distal end) of the elongate element **1** (FIG 10). Knots involving a figure eight are commonly known in the art (such as a double knot). **Strecker** discloses that a guide wire is used with a different embodiment (Column 8, Lines 35-38). It would have been obvious to use a guide wire with this embodiment to ensure proper positioning.

7. **Claim 9** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Strecker** in view of **Kaji** as applied to claim 6 above, and further in view of **Stevens-Wright et al** ("**Stevens-Wright**" US 5,462,527).

Strecker and **Kaji** disclose all of the claimed limitations except for the knot being secured with an adhesive. However, **Stevens-Wright** teaches that it is commonly known in the art at the time of the invention to secure a knot with an adhesive (Column 6, Lines 15-17). Given the teachings of **Stevens-Wright**, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the knot of **Strecker** in view of **Kaji** by securing it with a knot in order to prevent it from coming undone.

8. **Claims 11-16** rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Strecker** in view of **Kaji** as applied to claim 6 above, and further in view of **Weldon et al.** ("**Weldon**" US 2005/0154401).

Strecker in view of **Kaji** disclose all of the claimed limitations except for the balloon. However, **Weldon** teaches a similar apparatus intended to fasten a graft to a vessel comprising a balloon **90** which secures the graft and assists the movement of the fasteners (Paragraph 37-39). All of the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. Given the teachings of **Weldon**, it would have been obvious to provide a balloon to the device of **Strecker** in view of **Kaji** to ensure the proper placement of the fasteners and

graft. The balloon can be partially inflated and still allow blood flow past. **Weldon** teaches that it is commonly known in the art at the time of the invention to use a sheath to deploy the graft **74**.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARK MASHACK whose telephone number is (571)270-3861. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jackie Ho can be reached on (571) 272-4696. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/597,771
Art Unit: 3773

Page 6

/Mark Mashack/
Examiner, Art Unit 3773

/(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3773